Higher Education and Digital Learning AssetsSummary of June 2006 Meeting in Salt Lake City

Issue

This paper summarizes creative dialog regarding public television's role in meeting the digital needs of higher education and adult education services. Higher education's inquiry-based nature relies on academic discourse supported by a variety of content sources. Public television stands uniquely poised to provide content to higher education through a convergence of resources, delivery systems, and people creating valuable learning experiences. Partnering with content professionals and related national organizations, public television recognizes a window of opportunity and recommends increased focus and momentum to this important work.

Background

In January 2006, The National Educational Telecommunications Association (NETA) convened a meeting of Public Television and Content Providers to discuss service for higher education. PBS' Adult Learning Services was dissolved in September 2005, leaving questions about the role of PTV and higher education. Higher education has shifted away from semester-long video courses delivered over television. Meeting participants agreed there are new opportunities to collectively create a forward-thinking vision to make video and other multimedia assets available for faculty and students

Representatives from public television stations with learning objects services or strong higher education ties along with content providers and national leaders met in Salt Lake City in June 2006. The 35 participants had a very substantive conversation regarding how public television can best serve higher education in a changing digital world. Public television has strong community connections, public trust and partnerships. It also has a viable digital infrastructure to deliver high quality content. Public television station leaders provided direction to help inform and work with content providers on developing a learning objects service for higher education.

At the meeting, Teachers Domain, Thinkport, eMedia, and Open Media Network developers demonstrated their projects as successful digital asset services. Participants conducted a SWOT analysis and discussed metadata, content and licensing issues. The management of digital rights becomes complex as content and context are combined. While the rights to an image may be relatively straight forward, managing the entire learning object becomes challenging. There are not only rights connected with the content objects but also authorship rights of the assemblers and aggregators of the learning object components. All participants recognized a changing role for PTV stations and service to higher education constituents. The SWOT analysis revealed eight weaknesses and threats, with recommendations for action items. The recommendations build on PTV's strengths and are outlined below:

Weaknesses & Threats	Opportunities for Action
Lack of Interoperability among Digital Asset	Incent sharing of best practices - The Digital
Services	Services Fund grant with Teachers' Domain,
	KQED and WPSU from CPB is a good example.
Good digital asset models exist, but they aren't	
readily shared, since many projects are very	Develop frameworks for interoperability as well as
focused on meeting the needs of funders and	findability and encourage their use across the
local constituents.	system, e.g. PBCore Metadata Project. Crosswalk
	case studies may include: Teachers' Domain,
	Maryland's efforts with Library of Congress and
	Marco Polo, Maryland's efforts with PBS
	TeacherSource.
Lack of Rights-Available Content	Develop a clearinghouse of content that can be
	shared among PTV stations.
A lack of rights-available content provides a	Cotton HIDN's Modic Commenced to all and stations
barrier to meeting faculty needs.	Set up UEN's eMedia framework to allow stations
Examine UEN's eMedia and other relevant	to upload their content metadata and match providers with acquirers in a web-based
platforms within the system to determine	"marketplace." The database would include
additional opportunities for creating rich media	bartered and fee-based content. Contract
databases	negotiations would be between the two parties (<i>i.e.</i>
dutabuses	a system-wide "Craig's List" for educational
	content).
Unclear Marketplace to Identify or Acquire	Encourage NETA to set up a group buy process for
Content	higher education content, based on successful K-12
	models. Tie in with other national buying
Unlike K-12, there is no existing marketplace for	conferences where feasible.
reviewing and acquiring higher education	
content.	Need more extensive exploration of business
	model/underwriting including funding for the initial
	R&D phase.
<u>Varying Digital Asset Needs</u>	Conduct a needs assessment with higher education
	faculty, students, academic librarians, etc. to make
Higher education is rapidly changing to more	sure our new models match their priorities and
asynchronous digital instruction. With	needs. What is the urgent need? Who is the
Blackboard's acquisition of WebCT, and the	audience and what does PTV bring to the market?
increased prevalence of web-based conferencing	The needs assessment should draw on the needs of
for courses, knowing our constituents and their	not only four year and two year institutions but also
digital needs is increasingly critical. Faculty and	adult and continuing education in order to
students vary greatly in their knowledge and use of digital assets.	determine the target audience.
of digital assets.	Working with CPB, build on national higher
	education relationships and needs so our efforts
	work in tandem.

Weaknesses & Threats Unclear Terminology for Content Understanding the difference between digital learning objects that include the full educational context and raw digital assets has resulted in confusion over licensing, rights, and stakeholder Opportunities for Action PTV should work on delivering both digital asset (raw content) and framing those assets in a lear object context – confirm with needs assessmen One opportunity is to create learning object templates around common higher education pedagogical models, such as the case study metals.	ning
Understanding the difference between digital learning objects that include the full educational context and raw digital assets has resulted in (raw content) and framing those assets in a lear object context – confirm with needs assessmen One opportunity is to create learning object templates around common higher education	ning
Understanding the difference between digital learning objects that include the full educational context and raw digital assets has resulted in object context – confirm with needs assessmen One opportunity is to create learning object templates around common higher education	
learning objects that include the full educational context and raw digital assets has resulted in One opportunity is to create learning object templates around common higher education	
context and raw digital assets has resulted in templates around common higher education	
confusion over licensing, rights, and stakeholder pedagogical models, such as the case study me	
podugogicui modelo, such di dice otta sumenorati	hod.
use of content. As rights become available, digital assets could	be
"plugged in" to these templates by faculty.	
Frontline is an example of content well suited	О
case study method and highly in demand by	,
faculty. Pilots with PTV stations, higher ed, an	1
other key partners are critical.	.:
Higher Education Does Not Realize Public Television's Digital Asset Role Maintaining momentum for this effort will requested leadership at the national level and coordination	
between CPB, PBS, PTV stations and NETA.	1
There is a rapidly closing window. Many University Licensees are particularly poised to	
constituents don't realize that PTV could play an continue this effort. Regular conference calls,	
important content role for digital assets and are listsery, meeting times, and leadership are key.	
looking to textbook or commercial providers.	
PTV needs to use its storytelling skills to better	
market its digital assets. It also needs to estable	sh
joint marketing and co-branding efforts among	
stations and partners.	
Ongoing Rights Issues	
Rights issues continue to present barriers. The Participants at the Salt Lake City meeting were	
digital rights working group, convened by CPB, encouraged by the preliminary work done by the	
is developing a tiered approach for rights. digital rights working group. In particular,	
Willingness to allow experimentation with recognizing the need for delivery over multiple	
delivery models and licensing options in the platforms will be critical for higher education.	
future will be increasingly important. Focus on	
digital rights at the outset, rather than	
repurposing older content will be important for	
growth.	
Prioritization of Educational Needs	
Encourage APTS and CPB to identify and	т\/
Lack of focus has caused confusion across the PTV system and our national organizations. communicate pressing educational needs that P stations are uniquely poised to address. By	1 /
Now, more than ever, we need to identify the convening our efforts around common, targeted	I
critical educational needs facing our nation and issues, our impact will be demonstrated more	•
demonstrate the unique role that PTV plays in effectively.	
addressing that need.	

Recommendations and Next Steps

NETA will lead a planning team that will work with various constituent groups, including CPB, PBS, PBS stations the University Licensee Association, the National Media Market, The National Association of Media & Technology Centers, and the Consortium of College and University Media Centers to implement the recommendations.

The initial key steps resulting from the opportunities for action include:

- 1) Generate a list of sharable PTV content.
- 2) Generate a list of available vendor content.
- 3) Conduct a needs assessment and assess the gaps or opportunities in the marketplace. Determine which educational needs PTV is uniquely qualified to fulfill, and which ones we can reasonably shoulder.

Higher Education and Digital Learning AssetsJune 2006 Salt Lake City Attendees

First Name	Last Name	Organization
Kyle	Anderson	Utah Education Network
Michael	Aubin	Maryland Public Television
Frank	Batavick	Films Media Group
Marta	Bechtol	Wisconsin Educational Communications Board
		Media Solutions/Univ of Utah (KUED, Utah Education
Paul	Burrows	Network)
Mark	Caldwell	LearnKey
John	Chambers	NETA
Lynn	Dahnke	Coast Learning Systems/Coastline College
Andrea	Downing	PBS
Richard	Goodrow	Gallaudet University
Nancy	Hill	Alabama Public Television
Ryan	Hines	KUED
Rachelle	Howell	Dallas TeleLearning
Laura	Hunter	Utah Education Network
Gens	Johnson	Open Media Network
Dan	Jones	Coastline Community College
Susan	Knoble	WHYY
Michele	Korf	WGBH Educational Foundation
Gail	Long	Maryland Public Television
Kathy	Manwaring	LearnKey, Inc.
Laura	Orsetti	KET
Mike	Petersen	Utah Education Network
Allan	Pizzato	Alabama Public Television
Ronald	Plummer	Univ. of NC-Television UNC-TV
Terry	Rinehart	Iowa Public Television
Chris	Seifert	Montana PBS
Amy	Shaw	KETC
Larry	Smith	KUED
Nate	Southerland	Utah Education Network
Cory	Stokes	Utah Education Network
Carolyn	Wapnick	CPB
Bill	Weber	WHYY
Detmer		
(DJ)	Wells	Governors State University
Alison	White	Corporation for Public Broadcasting
Renee	Willemsen	Utah Education Network